arXiv:1703.09996v1 [hep-th] 29 Mar 2017

On Non-BPS Effective Actions of String Theory

Ehsan Hatefi

Institute for Theoretical Physics, TU Wien
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria [1]

Abstract

We discuss some physical prospective of non-BPS effective actions of type IIA,IIB superstring theories. Dealing with entire all four and five point functions, including a closed string Ramond-Ramond (in terms of both its field strength and its potential), gauge fields, scalar fields as well as a real tachyon, leads us to various restricted world volume and bulk Bianchi identities, due to the underlying symmetry structures. The entire non-BPS amplitudes and some aspects of non-BPS scattering amplitudes including their Chan-Paton factors are elaborated. Lastly all the singularity structures, their contact terms, their higher derivative corrections to all orders in α' as well as restricted/modified Bianchi identities are extracted under several assumptions.

[1] ehsan.hatefi@tuwien.ac.at,e.hatefi@qmul.ac.uk,ehsan.hatefi@cern.ch,ehsanhatefi@gmail.com

1 Introduction

D-branes have been realized to be sources for Ramond-Ramond (RR) fields [1, 2]. RR couplings actually played important contributions to particularly string theory. One might just mention for instance dissolving branes [3], K-theory, Myers effect in [4]. For applications to RR couplings we just illustrate the ones that have been shown to work out by now on N^3 phenomena for M5-branes, dS solutions, entropy growth and geometrical applications to effective actions [5].

The spectrum of the so called unstable branes or non-BPS branes includes massless, tachyon and an infinite number of massive states. There must be an Effective Field theory (EFT) for non-BPS branes so that upon integrating out all the massive states, one is left out with just tachyon and massless states [6]. We will not point out cosmological applications for unstable branes at all. On general grounds, one might expect that D-branes and SD-branes have similar effective actions. The effective action of these special branes has to have two parts. It consists of the extensions of usual DBI and Wess-Zumino (WZ) actions where the tachyon mode is being embedded in these actions as well. Having applied Conformal Field Theory (CFT) methods [7] supersymmetrized tachyonic action was developed [8] as

$$L = -T_p V(T)\sqrt{-\det(\eta_{ab} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ab} - 2\pi\alpha'\bar{\Psi}\gamma_b\partial_a\Psi + \pi^2\alpha'^2\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_a\Psi\bar{\Psi}\gamma_\mu\partial_b\Psi + 2\pi\alpha'\partial_a T\partial_b T)}$$

On the other hand the Chern-Simons action for BPS branes was constructed in [9]. Using Boundary String Field Theory (BSFT), one talks about tachyon's kinetic term in DBI part [10] as follows

$$S_{DBI} \sim \int d^{p+1}\sigma\, e^{-2\pi T^2} F(2\pi\alpha' D^a T D_a T), \qquad F(x) = \frac{4^x x\Gamma(x)^2}{2\Gamma(2x)}. \tag{1}$$

and also WZ action in BSFT approach is found to be

$$S_{WZ} = \mu'_p \int_{\Sigma_{(p+1)}} C \wedge \mathrm{Str}\, e^{i2\pi\alpha'\mathcal{F}}, \tag{2}$$

where superconnection's curvature would be given by

$$i\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} iF - \beta'^2 T^2 & \beta' DT \\ \beta' DT & iF - \beta'^2 T^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

β' supposed to be a normalization constant. If we expand out the exponential we get to derive diverse couplings, such as

$$S_{WZ} = 2\beta'\mu'_p(2\pi\alpha')\mathrm{Tr}\,(C_p \wedge DT + (2\pi\alpha')C_{p-2} \wedge DT \wedge F + \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{2} C_{p-4} \wedge F \wedge F \wedge DT) \tag{3}$$

For the sake of higher derivative corrections, here we tackle the 2nd approach of exploring effective actions which is scattering amplitude formalism where tachyon 's kinetic term is being inserted inside DBI action as follows

$$S_{DBI} \sim \int d^{p+1}\sigma \mathrm{STr}\left(V(T^iT^i)\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2}[T^i,T^j][T^j,T^i]}\right. \\ \left. \times\sqrt{-\det(\eta_{ab}+2\pi\alpha' F_{ab}+2\pi\alpha' D_aT^i(Q^{-1})^{ij}D_bT^j)}\right) , \tag{4}$$

where $V(T^iT^i)=e^{-\pi T^iT^i/2}$, $Q^{ij}=I\delta^{ij}-i[T^i,T^j]$, $T^1=T\sigma_1$, $T^2=T\sigma_2$ and σ_1,σ_2 are Pauli matrices. Note that the trace in (4) should be symmetric for all $F_{ab}, D_aT^i, [T^i,T^j]$ matrices. This action produces consistent results with all momentum expansion of 3, 4 and 5 point functions of an RR and 3 tachyons, two tachyons two gauge/scalar fields and etc, provided that all Chan-Paton factors are taken care of.

On the stable point tachyon potential (4) gets replaced to the known tachyon DBI action [11, 12] with potential as being introduced by $T^4V(T^2)$, while WZ action in this approach has the same formulae as appeared in (2). Indeed using S-matrix method β' normalisation constant for both non-BPS and brane anti brane system has been discovered to be $\beta'=\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{6\ln(2)}{\alpha'}}$ and $\beta=\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{2\ln(2)}{\alpha'}}$ [13]. It is worth mentioning that all superconection's structures for WZ action had been first established by S-matrix approach in [14].

The outline of this paper is as follows. First we find out all 4 point functions including a gauge/scalar field, a tachyon and an RR in all asymmetric and symmetric pictures of RR. By doing so, not only do we find some restricted Bianchi identities on both world volume and transverse directions of non-BPS branes but also we explore all their infinite higher derivative corrections. It is believed that due to supersymmetry transformation BPS S-matrices do not generate any Bianchi identity. To achieve consistent results at the level of singularity structures for 5 point functions of two gauge fields a tachyon and an RR in their asymmetric and symmetric cases, we also discover various restricted Bianchi identities. Eventually we talk about a universal expansion for tachyon and start constructing all different singularity structures as well as all order α' higher derivative interactions to various couplings of type IIA,IIB superstring theories.

2 All order $< V_{C^{-2}}V_{A^0}V_{T^0} >$ amplitude

In this section we would like to apply CFT methods to derive the entire S-matrix elements of an asymmetric RR, a gauge field and a tachyon in the world volume of a non-BPS brane

of type IIA,IIB. It can be achieved by searching for correlation functions of the following vertex operators

$$\begin{aligned}
V_T^{(0)}(x) &= \alpha' i k_2\cdot\psi(x) e^{\alpha' i k_2\cdot X(x)}\lambda\otimes\sigma_1,\\
V_T^{(-1)}(x) &= e^{-\phi(x)} e^{\alpha' i k_2\cdot X(x)}\lambda\otimes\sigma_2\\
V_A^{(-1)}(x) &= e^{-\phi(x)}\xi_a\psi^a(x) e^{\alpha' i q X(x)}\lambda\otimes\sigma_3\\
V_A^{(0)}(x) &= \xi_{1a}(\partial^a X(x) + i\alpha' q.\psi\psi^a(x)) e^{\alpha' i q.X(x)}\otimes I\\
V_A^{(-2)}(x) &= e^{-2\phi(x)} V_A^{(0)}(x)\\
V_C^{(-\frac{3}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}(z,\bar z) &= (P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p)^{\alpha\beta} e^{-3\phi(z)/2} S_\alpha(z) e^{i\frac{\alpha'}{2}p\cdot X(z)} e^{-\phi(\bar z)/2} S_\beta(\bar z) e^{i\frac{\alpha'}{2}p\cdot D\cdot X(\bar z)}\lambda\otimes\sigma_1,\\
V_C^{(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}(z,\bar z) &= (P_- \not{H}_{(n)} M_p)^{\alpha\beta} e^{-\phi(z)/2} S_\alpha(z) e^{i\frac{\alpha'}{2}p\cdot X(z)} e^{-\phi(\bar z)/2} S_\beta(\bar z) e^{i\frac{\alpha'}{2}p\cdot D\cdot X(\bar z)}\lambda\otimes\sigma_3\sigma_1
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We are looking for disk level amplitude so, the closed string will be located in the middle of disk and all open strings are moved to the boundary of disk. All on-shell conditions are

$$q^2 = p^2 = 0, \quad k_2^2 = 1/4, q.\xi_1 = 0,$$

Just for convenience we write down all the definitions of RR's field strength and projection operator

$$P_- = \tfrac{1}{2}(1-\gamma^{11}), \quad \not{H}_{(n)} = \frac{a_n}{n!} H_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}\gamma^{\mu_1}\ldots\gamma^{\mu_n},$$

For type IIA (IIB) $n = 2, 4, a_n = i$ ($n = 1, 3, 5, a_n = 1$) with spinor notation as

$$(P_-\not{H}_{(n)})^{\alpha\beta} = C^{\alpha\delta}(P_-\not{H}_{(n)})_\delta{}^\beta.$$

We also apply the doubling trick so that all the holomorphic parts of the fields will be used. Thus the following change of variables is made sense

$$\tilde X^\mu(\bar z)\to D^\mu_\nu X^\nu(\bar z)\ , \quad \tilde\psi^\mu(\bar z)\to D^\mu_\nu\psi^\nu(\bar z)\ , \quad \tilde\phi(\bar z)\to\phi(\bar z)\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde S_\alpha(\bar z)\to M_\alpha{}^\beta S_\beta(\bar z)\ ,$$

with

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -1_{9-p} & 0\\ 0 & 1_{p+1}\end{pmatrix}\ , \quad \text{and} \quad M_p = \begin{cases} \frac{\pm i}{(p+1)!}\gamma^{i_1}\gamma^{i_2}\ldots\gamma^{i_{p+1}}\epsilon_{i_1\ldots i_{p+1}} & \text{for p even}\\ \frac{\pm 1}{(p+1)!}\gamma^{i_1}\gamma^{i_2}\ldots\gamma^{i_{p+1}}\gamma_{11}\epsilon_{i_1\ldots i_{p+1}} & \text{for p odd}\end{cases}$$

From now on one starts to use just the following two-point functions for X^μ, ψ^μ, ϕ, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle X^\mu(z)X^\nu(w)\rangle &= -\frac{\alpha'}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}\log(z-w)\ ,\\
\langle\psi^\mu(z)\psi^\nu(w)\rangle &= -\frac{\alpha'}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu}(z-w)^{-1}\ ,\\
\langle\phi(z)\phi(w)\rangle &= -\log(z-w)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The amplitude in asymmetric picture is read off as follows

$$\int dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 dx_5 (P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p)^{\alpha\beta} (2\alpha' i k_{2b} \xi_{1a}) (x_{45})^{-3/4} (I_1 + I_2)$$
$$\times |x_{12}|^{\alpha' 2 k_1 . k_2} |x_{14} x_{15}|^{\frac{\alpha' 2}{2} k_1 . p} |x_{24} x_{25}|^{\frac{\alpha' 2}{2} k_2 . p} |x_{45}|^{\frac{\alpha' 2}{4} p . D . p}$$

where $x_4 = z = x + iy, x_5 = \bar{z} = x - iy$ and

$$I_1 = i k_2^a \Big(\frac{x_{42}}{x_{12} x_{14}} + \frac{x_{52}}{x_{12} x_{15}} \Big) 2^{-1/2} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1/2} (x_{45})^{-3/4} (\gamma^b C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (7)$$

One should make use of Wick-like rule [15] to obtain the correlation function for

$$I_2 = 2 i k_{1c} <: S_\alpha(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^c \psi^a(x_1) : \psi^b(x_2) :>$$

as follows

$$I_2 = \Big((\Gamma^{bac} C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2 Re[x_{14} x_{25}]}{x_{12} x_{45}} \Big(\eta^{bc} (\gamma^a C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{ab} (\gamma^c C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \Big) \Big)$$
$$\times 2 i k_{1c} 2^{-3/2} (x_{14} x_{15})^{-1} (x_{24} x_{25})^{-1/2} (x_{45})^{1/4}$$

It can be readily shown that the amplitude is now $SL(2,R)$ invariant and we use the gauge fixing as $(x_1, x_2, z, \bar{z}) = (x, -x, i, -i)$ so that the Jacobian becomes $J = -2i(1 + x^2)$. After gauge fixing one reveals that I_1 has zero contribution to our S-matrix due to the fact that it is proportional to odd integral with symmetric interval. [2] $t = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}(k_1 + k_2)^2$ is chosen while after gauge fixing I_2 is exactly obtained by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (2x)^{-2t-1/2} (1 + x^2)^{-1/2+2t} \Big((\frac{1 - x^2}{2ix}) (\eta^{bc} \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^a)$$
$$- \eta^{ab} \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^c)) + \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{bac}) \Big) 2^{3/2} k_{1c} k_{2b} \xi_{1a}$$

Note that the last term has just contribution to our amplitude. The final answer for the amplitude is

$$\mathcal{A}^{T^0, A^0, C^{-2}} = (\pi \beta' \mu'_p) 2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma[-t + 1/4]}{\Gamma[3/4 - t]} \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{bac}) k_{1c} k_{2b} \xi_{1a} \mathrm{Tr}\,(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)\ . \qquad (8)$$

[2] $\alpha' = 2$ is set,

$$k_{2b} k_2 . \xi_1 \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^b) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx (2x)^{-2t-1/2} (1 + x^2)^{-1/2+2t} (\frac{1 - x^2}{x})$$

where $(\pi\beta'\mu'_p/2)$ as normalization is used to be able to match the leading order S-matrix with the following coupling in an EFT

$$2i\beta'\mu'_p(2\pi\alpha')^2\int_{\Sigma_{p+1}}\mathrm{Tr}\,(C_{p-2}\wedge F\wedge DT), \tag{9}$$

β' and μ'_p are known to be WZ normalisation constant and RR brane's charge. On the other hand, the result in symmetric cases for $\mathcal{A}^{A^0,T^{-1},C^{-1}}$ can also be derived as

$$\mathcal{A}^{A^0,T^{-1},C^{-1}} = 2ik_{1b}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ab})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx(2x)^{-2t-1/2}(1+x^2)^{-1/2+2t} \tag{10}$$

and accordingly for $\mathcal{A}^{A^{-1},T^0,C^{-1}}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{A}^{A^{-1},T^0,C^{-1}} = 2ik_{2b}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ba})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx(2x)^{-2t-1/2}(1+x^2)^{-1/2+2t} \tag{11}$$

Particularly, by applying momentum conservation along the world volume of brane $(k_1+k_2+p)^a=0$ and making comparisons between (10) and (11) one clarifies that the following Bianchi identities kept fixed in the presence of field strength of RR

$$p_bH_{a_0\ldots a_{p-2}}\epsilon^{a_0\ldots a_{p-2}ba}=p_aH_{a_0\ldots a_{p-2}}\epsilon^{a_0\ldots a_{p-2}ba}=0 \tag{12}$$

Note that all four point functions involving an RR, a tachyon and a scalar field in all symmetric and asymmetric pictures of RR can also be computed. The result in symmetric picture for $\mathcal{A}^{\phi^0,T^{-1},C^{-1}}$ is given by

$$4\xi_{1i}(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p)^{\alpha\beta}\Big(k_{1a}(\Gamma^{ia}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-p^i(C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dx(2x)^{-2t-1/2}(1+x^2)^{-1/2+2t}$$

If we apply momentum conservation along the world volume of brane and in order to get consistent result for all different pictures of RR, the above restricted Bianchi identity (12) of non-BPS branes must get replaced by the following Bianchi identity that holds in the appearance of an scalar field and works out for all world volume and transverse directions of branes

$$p^i\epsilon^{a_0\ldots a_p}H_{a_0\ldots a_p}+p^a\epsilon^{a_0\ldots a_{p-1}a}H^i_{a_0\ldots a_{p-1}}=0 \tag{13}$$

The below trace is held for $p+1=n+2$ case

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\Big(\not{C}_{(n-1)}M_p(k_2.\gamma)(\xi.\gamma)(k_1.\gamma)\Big) = \pm\frac{32}{(p-2)!}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}bac}C_{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}}k_{1c}k_{2b}\xi_{1a}$$

Notice also the trace that has γ^{11} part, indicates that all results are also kept fixed for the following relation as well

$$p > 3, H_n = *H_{10-n}, n \geq 5.$$

Neither there are massless pole nor tachyon pole for this four point function, however, it is argued in [16] that the expansion for non-BPS amplitudes in the presence of an RR makes sense if one applies the following constraint that comes from momentum conservation along the world volume of brane

$$u = -p^a p_a \to \frac{-1}{4}. \tag{14}$$

Notice that for a brane-anti brane configuration the above constraint gets deduced to $p^a p_a \to 0$ [17]. Hence the precise momentum expansion for CAT is $u \to -1/4$. The expansion for Gamma function is

$$\sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma[-u+1/4]}{\Gamma[3/4-u]} = \pi \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} c_n (u+1/4)^{n+1} .$$

with the following coefficients

$$c_{-1} = 1, c_0 = 2ln(2), c_1 = \frac{\pi}{6}(\pi^2 + 12ln(2)^2), ...$$

An infinite higher derivative corrections to a C_{p-2} a tachyon and a gauge field can be pursued by properly applying higher derivative corrections to the above WZ effective coupling as follows

$$\frac{2i\beta'\mu'_p}{(p-2)!}(2\pi\alpha')^2 C_{p-2} \wedge \mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} c_n(\alpha')^{n+1} D_{a_1}\cdots D_{a_{n+1}} F \wedge D^{a_1}...D^{a_{n+1}} DT\right) \tag{15}$$

Let us deal with the entire $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ to see what kinds of restricted Bianchi identities can be explored, also to see whether or not there are bulk singularity structures.

3 Entire $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ scattering amplitude

In order to find out the entire form of scattering amplitude of a tachyon, a potential RR $(p+1)$ form- field as well as two massless gauge fields $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ on the world volume of non-BPS branes of type IIA,IIB one needs to employ all CFT techniques. To

achieve all Singularity and contact interactions we make use of the vertex operators that introduced earlier on. Note that as clarified in [18] CP factors for RR for brane anti brane system is different from the CP factors of non-BPS branes. RR vertices are introduced in [19]. One might hint on some of BPS and non-BPS scattering amplitudes [20] as well.

Recently $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{\phi^0} V_{\phi^0} V_{T^0} >$ analysis was done, however, one cannot simply deduce the result for $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ by exchanging the scalars fields to gauge fields. Given the fact that not all world volume couplings nor any bulk terms have effects on our new action. More crucially, given the nature of tachyonic string, it is also not worth comparing our S-matrix with other BPS branes 's actions [21]. Notice also to [22, 23]. Let us write down the compact and closed form of the correlation functions [3] whereas all other kinematical relations can be accounted from [18].

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}'^{C^{-2}A^0A^0T^0} &\sim 2\int dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4dx_5(P_-\not{C}_{(n-1)}M_p)^{\alpha\beta}I\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}x_{45}^{-3/4} \\
&\times\Big((i\alpha' k_{3c})a_1^c\Big[a_1^a a_2^b-\eta^{ab}x_{12}^{-2}\Big]-\alpha'^2k_{2d}k_{3c}a_1^a a_2^{cbd} \\
&-\alpha'^2k_{1e}k_{3c}a_2^b a_3^{cae}-i\alpha'^3k_{1e}k_{2d}k_{3c}a_4^{cbdae}\Big), \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= |x_{12}|^{\alpha'^2k_1.k_2}|x_{13}|^{\alpha'^2k_1.k_3}|x_{14}x_{15}|^{\frac{\alpha'^2}{2}k_1.p}|x_{23}|^{\alpha'^2k_2.k_3}|x_{24}x_{25}|^{\frac{\alpha'^2}{2}k_2.p}|x_{34}x_{35}|^{\frac{\alpha'^2}{2}k_3.p}|x_{45}|^{\frac{\alpha'^2}{4}p.D.p}, \\
a_1^a &= ik_2^a\Big(\frac{x_{42}}{x_{14}x_{12}}+\frac{x_{52}}{x_{15}x_{12}}\Big)+ik_3^a\Big(\frac{x_{43}}{x_{14}x_{13}}+\frac{x_{53}}{x_{15}x_{13}}\Big), \\
a_2^b &= -ik_1^b\Big(\frac{x_{14}}{x_{42}x_{12}}+\frac{x_{15}}{x_{52}x_{12}}\Big)-ik_3^b\Big(\frac{x_{43}}{x_{42}x_{23}}+\frac{x_{53}}{x_{52}x_{23}}\Big), \\
a_1^c &= 2^{-1/2}x_{45}^{-3/4}(x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2}(\gamma^cC^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \\
a_2^{cbd} &= 2^{-3/2}x_{45}^{1/4}(x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2}(x_{24}x_{25})^{-1}\Big\{(\Gamma^{cbd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\alpha' h_1\frac{Re[x_{24}x_{35}]}{x_{23}x_{45}}\Big\}, \\
a_3^{cae} &= 2^{-3/2}x_{45}^{1/4}(x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2}(x_{14}x_{15})^{-1}\Big\{(\Gamma^{cae}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\alpha' h_2\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{35}]}{x_{13}x_{45}}\Big\}, \\
h_1 &= \eta^{dc}(\gamma^bC^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{bc}(\gamma^dC^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}, \\
h_2 &= \eta^{ec}(\gamma^aC^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{ac}(\gamma^eC^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the last fermionic correlator that has just world volume indices $a_4^{cbdae} =<: S_\alpha(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^e\psi^a(x_1) : \psi^d\psi^b(x_2) : \psi^c(x_3) :>$can be explored by considering all possible interactions as follows:

$$a_4^{cbdae} = \Big\{(\Gamma^{cbdae}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\alpha' h_3\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}}+\alpha' h_4\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{35}]}{x_{13}x_{45}}+\alpha' h_5\frac{Re[x_{24}x_{35}]}{x_{23}x_{45}}$$

[3] $x_{ij}=x_i-x_j$, and $\alpha'=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\alpha'^2 h_6\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{35}]}{x_{13}x_{45}}\Big)\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}}\Big)+\alpha'^2 h_7\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}}\Big)^2\\
&+\alpha'^2 h_8\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}}\Big)\Big(\frac{Re[x_{24}x_{35}]}{x_{23}x_{45}}\Big)\Big\}2^{-5/2}x_{45}^{5/4}(x_{14}x_{15}x_{24}x_{25})^{-1}(x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2},\\
h_3 &= \Big(\eta^{ed}(\Gamma^{cba}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{eb}(\Gamma^{cda}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{ad}(\Gamma^{cbe}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\eta^{ab}(\Gamma^{cde}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big),\\
h_4 &= \Big(\eta^{ec}(\Gamma^{bda}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{ac}(\Gamma^{bde}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big),\\
h_5 &= \Big(\eta^{dc}(\Gamma^{bae}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{bc}(\Gamma^{dae}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big),\\
h_6 &= \Big(\eta^{ed}\eta^{ac}(\gamma^{b}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{eb}\eta^{ac}(\gamma^{d}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{ec}\eta^{ad}(\gamma^{b}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\eta^{ec}\eta^{ab}(\gamma^{d}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big),\\
h_7 &= \Big(-\eta^{ed}\eta^{ab}(\gamma^{c}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\eta^{eb}\eta^{ad}(\gamma^{c}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big), \qquad (17)\\
h_8 &= \Big(-\eta^{ed}\eta^{bc}(\gamma^{a}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\eta^{eb}\eta^{dc}(\gamma^{a}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}+\eta^{ad}\eta^{bc}(\gamma^{e}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}-\eta^{ab}\eta^{dc}(\gamma^{e}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

We wrote all the S-matrix elements so that SL(2,R) invariance can be manifestly shown. By fixing 3 position of vertices, we can actually get rid of the volume of killing group. In order to get algebraic answer for amplitude we would like to just fix the positions of open strings as

$$x_1 = 0, \qquad x_2 = 1, \qquad x_3 \to \infty,$$

Eventually one needs to take a 2D complex integrals on the location of closed string RR on the upper half plane as below

$$\int d^2z|1-z|^a|z|^b(z-\bar{z})^c(z+\bar{z})^d \qquad (18)$$

with integer d as $d = 0, 1, 2$ and the other a, b, c must have been written down in terms of the following Mandelstam variables:

$$s = \frac{-\alpha'}{2}(k_1+k_3)^2, t = \frac{-\alpha'}{2}(k_1+k_2)^2, u = \frac{-\alpha'}{2}(k_2+k_3)^2, s' = s+\frac{1}{4}, u' = u+\frac{1}{4}.$$

For $d = 0, 1$ and $d = 2, 3$ the algebraic solution for integrals is gained in [24],[25]. We just demonstrate the ultimate answer for amplitude in asymmetric picture as follows

$$\mathcal{A}'^{C^{-2}A^0A^0T^0} = \mathcal{A}'_1+\mathcal{A}'_2+\mathcal{A}'_3 \qquad (19)$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}'_1 &= -2^{3/2} i \xi_{1a} \xi_{2b} k_{1e} k_{3c} k_{2d} \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cbdae}\right)(t+s'+u') L_3 \\
\mathcal{A}'_2 &= 2^{3/2} i L_1 \Big((k_{1c}+k_{2c}+k_{3c})(-t \xi_{1a} \xi_{2b} \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cba}\right)) + 2\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cde}\right) \\
&\quad \times k_{3c} k_{2d} k_{1e} \xi_1.\xi_2 \Big) + 2^{3/2} i \Big(\xi_{2b} \left(-2k_3.\xi_1 k_{2d} L_2 (k_{3c}+k_{1c}) + 2k_2.\xi_1 k_{3c} k_{2d} L_1\right) \\
&\quad \times \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{dbc}\right) - 2k_2.\xi_1 k_{3c} k_{1e} \xi_{2b} \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cbe}\right) L_1 - 2\frac{s'}{u'} k_3.\xi_2 k_{1e} \xi_{1a} \\
&\quad \times (k_{3c}+k_{2c}) \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cae}\right) L_2 - 2k_1.\xi_2 k_{3c} k_{2d} \xi_{1a} \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cda}\right) L_1 \\
&\quad -2k_1.\xi_2 k_{3c} k_{1e} \xi_{1a} \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cae}\right) L_1 \Big) \\
\mathcal{A}'_3 &= 2^{3/2} i \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^c\right) L_3 (k_{1c}+k_{2c}+k_{3c}) \Big[t(k_3.\xi_1)(k_3.\xi_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1.\xi_2) u' s' \\
&\quad -(k_3.\xi_1)(k_1.\xi_2) u' - s'(k_3.\xi_2)(k_2.\xi_1) \Big] \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions L_1, L_2, L_3 are

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= (2)^{-2(t+s+u)-1} \pi \frac{\Gamma(-u+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-s+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-t)\Gamma(-t-s-u)}{\Gamma(-u-t+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-t-s+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-s-u+\frac{1}{2})} \\
L_2 &= (2)^{-2(t+s+u)-1} \pi \frac{\Gamma(-u+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-s-\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(-t+1)\Gamma(-t-s-u)}{\Gamma(-u-t+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-t-s+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-s-u+\frac{1}{2})} \\
L_3 &= (2)^{-2(t+s+u)} \pi \frac{\Gamma(-u+\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(-s+\frac{1}{4})\Gamma(-t+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(-t-s-u-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(-u-t+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-t-s+\frac{3}{4})\Gamma(-s-u+\frac{1}{2})}
\end{aligned}
$$

This amplitude satisfies Ward identities related to both gauge fields. We expand the amplitude in such a way that all tachyon and massless poles can be obtained in an EFT and also start producing all contact interactions to all orders as well. One might think that the amplitude in asymmetric case has non-zero terms for $p+1=n$ case, but, note that those terms that are not gauge invariant, will be disappeared, such as the following terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{1/2} i \mathrm{Tr}\left(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \gamma^c\right) \Big[& 2(k_2.\xi_1)(k_1.\xi_2) k_{3c} (-4M_5 + 4K_2 + M_9 - 4(\frac{1}{4}M_9 + K_2 - M_5)) \\
& + \xi_{2c} k_2.\xi_1 \Big(4u'(-M_4 + \frac{1}{2}M_5 + \frac{1}{2}M_{11} - \frac{1}{4}M_9) - 4s'(\frac{1}{4}M_9 - \frac{1}{2}M_5) \Big) \\
& + \xi_{2c} k_3.\xi_1 \Big(-2u'(-M_{11} + \frac{1}{2}M_9) + 4t(\frac{1}{4}M_9 - \frac{1}{2}M_5) \Big) \\
& + \xi_{1c} k_3.\xi_2 \Big(2s' M_{11} - s' M_9 - 4t(\frac{1}{4}M_9 - \frac{1}{2}M_{11} + M_4 - \frac{1}{2}M_5) \Big) \\
& + \xi_{1c} k_1.\xi_2 \Big(-2s' M_5 + s' M_9 + 4u'(\frac{1}{4}M_9 - \frac{1}{2}M_{11} + M_4 - \frac{1}{2}M_5) \Big) \Big] \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

At the end of the day the sum of all coefficients of each parenthesis of all above terms is zero. This means that they disappear from the ultimate form of the world volume amplitudes, where all K_2, M's functions can be written in terms of Gamma functions but for the sake of this paper we will not mention their explicit forms. Notice that this also confirms that there is no bulk singularity term at all. In the next section we would like to address its symmetric analysis.

4 Entire $< V_{C^{-1}} V_{A^0} V_{A^{-1}} V_{T^0} >$ scattering amplitude

This S-matrix in terms of field strength of RR, that is, $< V_{C^{-1}} V_{A^0} V_{A^{-1}} V_{T^0} >$ has not been calculated yet. Making use of CFT we try to explore the entire amplitude of $< V_{C^{-1}} V_{A^0} V_{A^{-1}} V_{T^0} >$ on the world volume of non-BPS branes. It can be found out by the following correlations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}''^{C^{-1}A^0A^{-1}T^0} &= -2\int dx_1dx_2dx_3dx_4dx_5(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p)^{\alpha\beta}I\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}x_{45}^{-1/4}(x_{24}x_{25})^{-1/2} \\ &\times\Big(i\alpha' k_{3c}a_1^a a_2^{cb} - \alpha'^2 k_{1d}k_{3c}I_2^{cbad}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I &= |x_{12}|^{4k_1.k_2}|x_{13}|^{4k_1.k_3}|x_{14}x_{15}|^{2k_1.p}|x_{23}|^{4k_2.k_3}|x_{24}x_{25}|^{2k_2.p}|x_{34}x_{35}|^{2k_3.p}|x_{45}|^{p.D.p} \\ a_1^a &= ik_2^a\Big(\frac{x_{42}}{x_{14}x_{12}}+\frac{x_{52}}{x_{15}x_{12}}\Big)+ik_3^a\Big(\frac{x_{43}}{x_{14}x_{13}}+\frac{x_{53}}{x_{15}x_{13}}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where one needs to get to know the following correlation functions as well

$$\begin{aligned} a_2^{cb} &= <: S_\alpha(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^b(x_2) : \psi^c(x_3) :>= \Big\{(\Gamma^{cb}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - 2\eta^{bc}\frac{Re[x_{24}x_{35}]}{x_{23}x_{45}}\Big\} \\ &\times 2^{-1}(x_{24}x_{25}x_{34}x_{35})^{-1/2}x_{45}^{-1/4} \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

One also needs to obtain the correlation function of a current and two fermion fields in two different location in the presence of two spin operator $I_2^{cbad} =<: S_\alpha(x_4) : S_\beta(x_5) : \psi^d\psi^a(x_1) : \psi^b(x_2) : \psi^c(x_3) :>$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_2^{cbad} &= \Big\{(\Gamma^{cbad}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \alpha' b_1\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}} + \alpha' b_2\frac{Re[x_{24}x_{35}]}{x_{23}x_{45}} + \alpha' b_3\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{35}]}{x_{13}x_{45}} \\ &+\alpha'^2 b_4\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{35}]}{x_{13}x_{45}}\Big)\Big(\frac{Re[x_{14}x_{25}]}{x_{12}x_{45}}\Big)\Big\}2^{-2}x_{45}^{3/4}(x_{34}x_{35}x_{24}x_{25})^{-1/2}(x_{14}x_{15})^{-1}, \\ b_1 &= \Big(\eta^{bd}(\Gamma^{ca}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{ab}(\Gamma^{cd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_2 &= \Big(- \eta^{bc}(\Gamma^{ad}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big), \\
b_3 &= \Big(- \eta^{cd}(\Gamma^{ba}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \eta^{ac}(\Gamma^{bd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}\Big), \\
b_4 &= \Big(- \eta^{ac}\eta^{db} + \eta^{ab}\eta^{dc}\Big)(C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

We used gauge fixing for open strings as $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, x_3 \to \infty$, so that one needs to essentially take integration on the position of closed string RR which would be just a 2D complex integrals on upper half plane. One might find the complete form of the integrand for $\mathcal{A}^{C^{-1}A^0A^{-1}T^0}$ after gauge fixing as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p)^{\alpha\beta}\int d^2z|1-z|^{2t+2u-3/2}|z|^{2t+2s+1/2}(z-\bar{z})^{-2(t+s+u)-1} \\
&\times\Big[- k_{3c}(2k_{2a} - |z|^{-2}(k_{2a}+k_{3a})(z+\bar{z}))((\Gamma^{cb}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + 2\eta^{bc}(\frac{1-x}{x_{45}})) \\
&-k_{1d}k_{3c}|z|^{-2}x_{45}\Big((\Gamma^{cbad}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2x}{x_{45}}(\eta^{bd}(\Gamma^{ca}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{ab}(\Gamma^{cd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{bc}(\Gamma^{ad}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} \\
&-\eta^{cd}(\Gamma^{ba}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \eta^{ac}(\Gamma^{bd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}) - \frac{2|z|^2}{x_{45}}(\eta^{bd}(\Gamma^{ca}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} - \eta^{ab}(\Gamma^{cd}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta}) \\
&+\frac{2}{x_{45}}\eta^{bc}(\Gamma^{ad}C^{-1})_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{x^2-x|z|^2}{x_{45}^2}(-4\eta^{ac}\eta^{db} + 4\eta^{ab}\eta^{dc})C^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\Big)\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{25}
$$

The final answer is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}''^{C^{-1}A^0A^{-1}T^0} = \mathcal{A}''_1 + \mathcal{A}''_2 + \mathcal{A}''_3 \tag{26}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}''_1 &= 2\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}k_{1d}k_{3c}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{cbad})(t+s'+u')L_3 \\
\mathcal{A}''_2 &= -2\Big(\xi_{2b}\,(-2k_2.\xi_1k_{3c}L_1 + 2k_3.\xi_1L_2(k_{3c}+k_{1c}))\,\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{cb}) \\
&\quad +2k_1.\xi_2k_{3c}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ca})L_1 - 2\frac{s'}{u'}k_3.\xi_2k_{1d}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ad})L_2\Big) \\
&\quad +2L_1\Big(t\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ba}) + 2k_{3c}k_{1d}\xi_1.\xi_2\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{cd})\Big) \\
\mathcal{A}''_3 &= 2\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p)L_3\Big[- t(k_3.\xi_1)(k_3.\xi_2) + (k_3.\xi_2)(k_2.\xi_1)s' \\
&\quad +(k_3.\xi_1)(k_1.\xi_2)u' + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1.\xi_2)u's'\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{27}
$$

On the other hand this amplitude for the following picture $< V_{C^{-1}}V_{A^0}V_{A^0}V_{T^{-1}} >$ was computed to be

$$
\mathcal{A}^{C^{-1}A^0A^0T^{-1}} = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{A}_3 \tag{28}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1 &= -2i\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}k_{1e}k_{2d}\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{bdae}\right)(t+s'+u')L_3 \\
\mathcal{A}_2 &= 2\Big\{\Big[k_{2d}\xi_{2b}\left(-2k_2.\xi_1L_1+2k_3.\xi_1L_2\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{db}\right) \\
&\quad -2k_1.\xi_2k_{2d}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ad}\right)L_1\Big]+\Big[k_{1d}\xi_{1a}\left(2k_1.\xi_2L_1-2k_3.\xi_2\frac{s'}{u'}L_2\right) \\
&\quad \times\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{da}\right)+2k_2.\xi_1k_{1d}\xi_{2b}\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{bd}\right)L_1\Big] \\
&\quad -L_1\left(-t\xi_{1a}\xi_{2b}\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{ba}\right)+2k_{2d}k_{1e}\xi_1.\xi_2\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\Gamma^{de}\right)\right)\Big\} \\
\mathcal{A}_3 &= \Big[-t(k_3.\xi_1)(k_3.\xi_2)+(k_3.\xi_2)(k_2.\xi_1)s'+(k_3.\xi_1)(k_1.\xi_2)u'+\frac{1}{2}(\xi_1.\xi_2)u's'\Big] \\
&\quad \times -2i\mathrm{Tr}\left(P_-\not{H}_{(n)}M_p\right)L_3
\end{aligned}
\tag{29}
$$

In the next section we address tachyon's momentum expansion to be able to expand out our entire S-matrix and start generating its non-zero couplings.

5 Tachyon 's Momentum Expansion

In [16] it is conjectured that the momentum expansion for tachyon is universal. Given the momentum conservation on the world volume of brane for 3 point function of an RR and a tachyon, one reveals that $k_a + p_a = 0$, therefore $p^a p_a$ must be sent to mass of tachyon ($k^2 = -m^2$). Indeed by the same argument it is easy to establish the fact that

$$p^a p_a \to \frac{1}{4}$$

and that is just possible for SDbranes or euclidean branes. This means that amplitude makes sense for non-BPS SD-branes [26]. From the kinetic term of tachyons in DBI action, one believes that the coupling of two tachyon and a gauge field is non zero , hence, to be able to produce all tachyon and massless poles of the EFT , we need to employ the following unique expansion for all non-BPS branes. Mandelstam variables should be sent of the following

$$s+t+u = -p^a p_a - \frac{1}{4}, \quad t\to 0, s\to -\frac{1}{4}, u\to -\frac{1}{4} \tag{30}$$

$(i\mu'_p\beta'\pi^{1/2})$ normalisation is used and the closed form of the expansions are

$$L_1 = -\pi^{3/2}\Big(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} b_n(u'+s')^{n+1}+\sum_{p,n,m=0}^{\infty} e_{p,n,m}t^p(s'u')^n(s'+u')^m\Big)$$

$$L_2 = -\pi^{3/2}\Big(\frac{1}{s'}\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} b_n(u'+t)^{n+1} + \sum_{p,n,m=0}^{\infty} e_{p,n,m}s'^p(tu')^n(t+u')^m\Big) \tag{31}$$

Some of the above coefficients can be given.[4] Regarding (30) , we understand that L_1, L_2, L_3 have an infinite number of t-channel gauge field , tachyonic singularities in s-channel (and u-channels) as well as another kind of $(s'+t+u')$-channel tachyon poles accordingly. In the next sections we first try to make comparisons on singularity structures as well as an infinite contact terms to actually reconstruct them out and more importantly achieve the restricted world volume Bianchi identities for non-BPS branes.

6 Singularities and Restricted Bianchi Identities

Let us first compare singularity structures between $< V_{C^{-2}}V_{A^0}V_{A^0}V_{T^0} >$, symmetric picture of $< V_{C^{-1}}V_{A^0}V_{A^{-1}}V_{T^0} >$ with (29). If we use momentum conservation $k_{1a}+k_{2a}+k_{3a} = -p_a$ and $pC = H$ to the entire $\mathcal{A}'_3$ of (19) we then are able to precisely produce all entire $(s'+t+u')$ channel poles $\mathcal{A}_3$ of (29). The first term $\mathcal{A}'_2$ exactly produces the 7th term $\mathcal{A}_2$ that has tachyon singularities. Replacing $k_{3c} = -(k_{1c}+k_{2c}+p_c)$ to 2nd term $\mathcal{A}'_2$ we gain

$$-2^{5/2}iL_1\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{C}_{(n-1)}M_p\Gamma^{cde})(k_{1c}+k_{2c}+p_c)k_{2d}k_{1e}\xi_1.\xi_2 \tag{32}$$

where (32) is symmetric under both k_{1c}, k_{2c} but also antisymmetric in terms $\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}cde}$ inside the trace, therefore k_{1c}, k_{2c} have no contribution to our coupling. Using $pC = H$ we then exactly derive the 8th term of $\mathcal{A}_2$ that has an infinite $(s'+t+u')$ tachyonic singularities. Above arguments are also being held for the 3rd term of $\mathcal{A}'_2$, namely using momentum conservation, the 3rd term of $\mathcal{A}'_2$ reconstructs out the 2nd term of $\mathcal{A}_2$ that has an infinite s'-channel tachyon poles. If we apply momentum conservation to 6th term of $\mathcal{A}'_2$ we find the following interaction

$$2^{5/2}i\frac{s'}{u'}L_2k_3.\xi_2k_{1e}\xi_{1a}\mathrm{Tr}\,(P_-\not{C}_{(n-1)}M_p\Gamma^{cae})(k_{1c}+p_c) \tag{33}$$

---

[4]

$$L_3 = -\pi^{5/2}\sum_{p,n,m=0}^{\infty}\Big(c_n(s'+t+u')^n + c_{n,m}\frac{s'^nu'^m+s'^mu'^n}{(t+s'+u')} + f_{p,n,m}(s'+t+u')^p(s'+u')^n(s'u')^m\Big)$$

$$b_{-1}=1,\, b_0=0,\, b_1=\frac{1}{6}\pi^2, e_{1,0,0}=\frac{1}{6}\pi^2c_0=0, c_1=\frac{\pi^2}{3}, c_{1,0}=c_{0,1}=0, f_{0,0,1}=4\zeta(3)$$

where due to above reason k_{1c} has no effect to above interaction and using $pC = H$, the above coupling regenerates exactly an infinite u' channel tachyon singularities (5th term of $\mathcal{A}_2$). Having applied momentum conservation to 4th term $\mathcal{A'}_2$ we obtain

$$2^{3/2} i L_1 2k_2.\xi_1 k_{2d} \xi_{2b} \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cbd})(k_{1c} + k_{2c} + p_c) \tag{34}$$

where k_{2c} has zero contribution to above interaction and using $pC = H$, one reproduces exactly 1st term of $\mathcal{A}_2$. Now adding the contribution k_{1c} of (34) with 5th term of $\mathcal{A'}_2$ we obtain

$$2^{3/2} i L_1 2k_2.\xi_1 k_{1c} \xi_{2b} \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cbd})(k_{2d} + k_{3d}) \tag{35}$$

which is precisely the 6th term $\mathcal{A}_2$. Suppose we apply momentum conservation to 7th term $\mathcal{A'}_2$ as follows

$$2^{3/2} i 2k_1.\xi_2 k_{2d} \xi_{1a} (k_{1c} + k_{2c} + p_c) \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cda}) L_1 \tag{36}$$

once more k_{2c} has no contribution, taking $pC = H$, we generate the 3rd term of $\mathcal{A}_2$ of (29). While one might suppose that the k_{1c} from (36) is an extra singularity , we claim that its presence is needed. Indeed if we take into account the contribution k_{1c} from (36) and add it up with the last term of $\mathcal{A'}_2$ we reach to

$$2^{3/2} i 2k_1.\xi_2 k_{1c} \xi_{1a} (k_{2d} + k_{3d}) \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{C}_{(n-1)} M_p \Gamma^{cda}) L_1 \tag{37}$$

which is exactly the 3rd term $\mathcal{A}_2$. Therefore we were able to produce not only all infinite t-channel gauge singularities but also all infinite $s', u', (t + s' + u')$ channel tachyon singularities $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ from (29) without any ambiguity. Let us deal with singularities appearing in (27). Evidently $\mathcal{A''}_3$ is the same as $\mathcal{A}_3$. 4th and 5th terms of $\mathcal{A''}_2$ are equivalent to 5th and 7th terms $\mathcal{A}_2$. Applying momentum conservation to 6th term $\mathcal{A''}_2$ we would get

$$2L_1 k_{1d} \xi_1.\xi_2 \mathrm{Tr}\,(P_- \not{H}_{(n)} M_p \Gamma^{cd})(k_{1c} + k_{2c} + p_c) \tag{38}$$

where k_{1c} has no effect in above interaction. Contribution from k_{2c} produces exactly the 8th term $\mathcal{A}_2$, while to make a consistent result for both symmetric amplitude, one must impose the following restricted Bianchi identity for field strength of RR on the world volume of scattering amplitudes as follows

$$p_c H_{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2}} \epsilon^{a_0 \ldots a_{p-2} cd} = 0 \tag{39}$$

Meanwhile using direct scattering amplitude $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{\phi^0} V_{\phi^0} V_{T^0} >$ the following Bianchi identity holds in terms of both field strength and and RR potential in the entire space-time

$$\epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_p} \Big(- p_{a_p} (p+1) H^{ij}_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} - p^j H^i_{a_0 \cdots a_p} + p^i H^j_{a_0 \cdots a_p} \Big) \;\; = \;\; dH^{p+2} = 0. \tag{40}$$

or

$$p_{a_0} \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_p} \Big(- p_{a_p} p(p+1) C_{ija_1 \cdots a_{p-1}} - p^j C_{ia_1 \cdots a_p} + p^i C_{ja_1 \cdots a_p} \Big) \;\; = \;\; 0. \tag{41}$$

Now if we again apply momentum conservation to 1st and 3rd terms $\mathcal{A}''_2$ and simultaneously employ the derived restricted world volume (39) to all of them, we actually reconstruct the sum of 1st and 6th terms of $\mathcal{A}_2$ as well as the 3rd and 4th terms $\mathcal{A}_2$ appropriately. The same methodology can be applied to 2nd term $\mathcal{A}''_2$ to regenerate exactly the 2nd term $\mathcal{A}_2$. Hence, using the restricted world volume Bianchi identities not only were we able to produce all infinite t-channel gauge field singularities but also all infinite $s', u', (t + s' + u')$ channel tachyon singularities $< V_{C^{-1}} V_{A^0} V_{A^{-1}} V_{T^0} >$ have been precisely produced in comparison with (29). It is worth to emphasise that unlike $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{\phi^0} V_{\phi^0} V_{T^0} >$ here we have no bulk singularity structures at all. All other brane singularities have been matched without producing any extra residual leftover contact interactions on the world volume of non-BPS branes.

7 Contact term comparisons

In this section we try to compare all contact interactions between (19), (27) with all order contact terms of (29) to be able to achieve all the restricted Bianchi identities of non-BPS branes. If we replace $k_{3c} = -(k_{1c} + k_{2c} + p_c)$ to $\mathcal{A}'_1$ of (19) (also to $\mathcal{A}''_1$) as well as making use of the following Bianchi identity

$$p_c \epsilon^{a_0 .. a_{p-5} cbda} = 0 \tag{42}$$

we then obviously produce all contact interactions for $p = n + 3$ case of $\mathcal{A}_1$. Its leading order couplings can be figured out by normalizing $(\mu'_p \beta' \pi^{1/2})$ through following coupling in an EFT

$$\beta' \mu'_p (2\pi\alpha')^3 \mathrm{Tr} \left(C_{p-4} \wedge F \wedge F \wedge DT \right) \tag{43}$$

Note that both $\mathcal{A}''_1$ and $\mathcal{A}'_1$ satisfy Ward identity and they donot make sense for Abelian gauge group. The method for finding all order contact interactions has been given in [16]

so one can start applying proper higher derivative corrections to above coupling to produce all non-leading terms.

Therefore making use of some Bianchi identities we were able to re generate all contact interactions $< V_{C^{-2}} V_{A^0} V_{A^0} V_{T^0} >$ from (29) without any ambiguity. We also hint out that the 1st contact term is computed by a gauge invariant coupling in an EFT as follows

$$2\beta' \mu'_p (2\pi\alpha')^2 \mathrm{Tr}\,(C_{p-2} \wedge F \wedge DT) \tag{44}$$

Notice that (43) is found by expanding the exponential of WZ action and using the multiplication rule of the supermatrices. All contact interactions can be calculated, since recently the method of getting all order contact interaction has been released in [18] so one can properly search for all order α' corrections, however, for the sake of this paper we omit this task.

7.1 An Infinite $(t + s' + u')$- Channel Tachyon Singularities

Let us very briefly explore an infinite $(t + s' + u')$- channel tachyon singularities that made sense for $p + 1 = n$ case of $\mathcal{A}'_3$. Extracting the trace and normalizing the amplitude we derive them as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & p_c C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1} c} \Big(- t(k_3.\xi_1)(k_3.\xi_2) + (k_3.\xi_2)(k_2.\xi_1) s' + (k_3.\xi_1)(k_1.\xi_2) u' + \frac{1}{2}(\xi_1.\xi_2) s' u' \Big) \\ & \times \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} c_{n,m} (s'^m u'^n + s'^m u'^m) \frac{32\beta' \mu'_p \pi^3}{(s' + t + u') p!} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which does satisfy Ward identity. These poles can be constructed out by employing a WZ coupling $2i\mu'_p \beta' (2\pi\alpha') \int C_p \wedge DT$ and all order higher derivative corrections to two tachyons as well as two gauge field couplings. In an effective field theory all singularities are derived by the following sub amplitude rule and vertices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= V^\alpha(C_p, T) G^{\alpha\beta}(T) V^\beta(T, T_3, A_1, A_2) \\ G^{\alpha\beta}(T) &= \frac{i\delta^{\alpha\beta}}{(2\pi\alpha') T_p (s' + u' + t)} \\ V^\alpha(C_p, T) &= 2i\mu'_p \beta' (2\pi\alpha') \frac{1}{p!} \epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_p} C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}} k_{a_p} \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Replacing the vertex of two tachyon two gauge field couplings in above field theory amplitude we obtain all infinite poles in an EFT as follows

$$32\pi\alpha'^2 \beta' \mu'_p \frac{\epsilon^{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1} c} p_c C_{a_0 \cdots a_{p-1}}}{p!(s' + t + u')} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \Big((a_{n,m} + b_{n,m}) [s'^m u'^n + s'^n u'^m]$$

$$\times\Big[-t(k_3.\xi_2)(k_3.\xi_1)+(k_2.\xi_1)(k_3.\xi_2)s'+(k_1.\xi_2)(k_3.\xi_1)u'+(\xi_1.\xi_2)\frac{1}{2}u's'\Big]\Big) \qquad (47)$$

with some of the coefficients to be

$$a_{0,0}=-\frac{\pi^2}{6},\ b_{0,0}=-\frac{\pi^2}{12}, a_{1,0}=2\zeta(3),\ a_{0,1}=0,\ b_{0,1}=b_{1,0}=-\zeta(3)$$

These poles (47) are exactly the ones that appeared in S-matrix elements (45).

7.1.1 An Infinite u', s' channel Tachyonic singularities

Given the symmetries we just reconstruct all infinite u'-channel poles in an EFT, and likewise by exchanging momenta and polarizations, all infinite s'-channel singularities can also be examined as

$$\frac{32\mu_p'\beta'\pi^2}{(p-2)!}p_cC_{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}cae}\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty}b_n\frac{(s'+t)^{n+1}}{u'}(2k_3.\xi_2)k_{1a}\xi_{1e} \qquad (48)$$

All these u'-channel poles can be constructed by the following rule

$$\mathcal{A} \;=\; V^{\alpha}(C_{p-2},A_1,T)G^{\alpha\beta}(T)V^{\beta}(T,T_3,A_2) \qquad (49)$$

$V^{\beta}(T,T_3,A_2)$ should be searched from the non-Abelian kinetic term of tachyons in DBI action that has been fixed. If we employ the corrections that we got in (15) for WZ coupling $2i\beta'\mu_p'\int C_{p-2}\wedge F\wedge DT$ then we obtain the higher order vertex of $V^{\alpha}(C_{p-2},A_1,T)$ and the other vertices to be

$$\begin{aligned}V^{\beta}(T,T_3,A_2)&=iT_p(2\pi\alpha')(k_3-k).\xi_2\\ V^{\alpha}(C_{p-2},A_1,T)&=2\mu_p'\beta'\frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{(p-2)!}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-1}c}p_cC_{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}}k_{1a_{p-2}}\xi_{1a_{p-1}}\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty}b_n(\alpha'k_1\cdot k)^{n+1}\end{aligned} \qquad (50)$$

k becomes off-shell 's tachyon momentum. Replacing (50) inside (49) we obtain an infinite u' channel tachyon poles in an EFT as follows

$$\mathcal{A} \;=\; \frac{2\mu_p'\beta'(2\pi\alpha')^2}{(p-2)!u'}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-1}c}p_cC_{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}}k_{1a_{p-2}}\xi_{1a_{p-1}}(2k_3.\xi_2)\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty}b_n(t+s')^{n+1}$$

which are precisely those singularities that appeared in (48). Eventually one can show that an infinite t-channel gauge field IR singularities are regenerated by taking into account the following rule and vertex operators in an EFT

$$\mathcal{A} \;=\; V^{a}(C_{p-2},T_3,A)G^{ab}(A)V^{b}(A,A_1,A_2)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^a(C_{p-2},T_3,A) &= 2\mu_p'\beta'(2\pi\alpha')^2\frac{1}{(p-2)!}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_{p-2}ac}p_c C_{a_0\cdots a_{p-3}}k_{a_{p-2}}\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty}b_n(\alpha' k_3\cdot k)^{n+1}\\
V^b(A,A_1,A_2) &= -iT_p(2\pi\alpha')^2[\xi_1^b(k_1-k).\xi_2+\xi_2^b(k-k_2).\xi_1+\xi_1.\xi_2(k_2-k_1)^b]\\
G^{ab}(A) &= \frac{i\delta^{ab}}{(2\pi\alpha')^2T_p t}
\end{aligned}
$$

k is off-shell gauge field's momentum and $V^a(C_{p-2},T_3,A)$ has been derived from the corrections to the coupling of WZ coupling $C_{p-2}\wedge F\wedge DT$ that we have derived in previous sections. Notice that the kinetic term of gauge field is fixed in DBI action, we then reveal that $V^b(A,A_1,A_2)$ should not receive any higher derivative corrections. We also come to know that the tachyon expansion that we talked about is consistent with effective field theory, because we are able to produce precisely all tachyon and massless poles of string theory amplitude in an EFT as well.

Also the expansion has been checked for various other non supersymmetric cases, such as all the other four and five point functions (like $CAT, C\phi\phi T$) , that is why we believe the expansion is universal. This might indicate that tachyon momentum expansion is unique. It would be nice to check it out with higher point functions of non-BPS string amplitudes which remains to be carried out. The precise form of solutions for integrals of 6 point functions such as $CTTTT$ is quite unknown but given the exact symmetries of string theory amplitudes and the universal tachyon expansion we may well be able to predict all singularity structures of those particular amplitudes. We hope to come over these important open questions in near future [27].

Acknowledgements

This paper was initiated during my 2nd post doc at Queen Mary University of London. Some parts of the paper were carried out at KITP in Santa Barbara , UC Berkeley and at Caltech. I am very grateful to N.Arkani-Hamed, P. Anastasopoulos, A. Brandhuber , G.Travaglini, P. Horava, G.Veneziano, L. Mason, H. Steinacker, J.Schwarz, J. Polchinski and T. Wrase for many useful discussions and for sharing their insights with me. This work is supported by the FWF project P26731-N27.

References

[1] J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4724 (1995)[arXiv:hep-th/9510017].

[2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **460**,335 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510135];

[3] M. R. Douglas [hep-th/9512077].

[4] R.Minasian and G.W. Moore, JHEP 9711,002 (1997), [hep-th/9510230]; E. Witten, JHEP 9812,019 (1998), [hep-th/9810188]; R. C.Myers, JHEP 9912,022 (1999) [hep-th/9910053].

[5] A.Nurmagambetov etal, JHEP **1304**, 170 (2013) [arXiv:1210.3825 [hep-th]]; A. J. Nurmagambetov et.al, Nucl. Phys. B **866**, 58 (2013) [arXiv:1204.2711 [hep-th]]; S. de Alwis, etal. JHEP **1311** (2013) 179 [arXiv:1308.1222 [hep-th]].

[6] A. Sen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20** (2005) 5513 [hep-th/0410103].

[7] D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B **271** (1986) 93.

[8] E. Hatefi, JHEP **1307** (2013) 002 [arXiv:1304.3711 [hep-th]]; M. Aganagic, etal, Nucl. Phys. B **496**, 215 (1997); E. Hatefi, JHEP **1311** (2013) 204 [arXiv:1307.3520 [hep-th]].

[9] M. Li, Nucl. Phys. **B460**, 351 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510161]

[10] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 106004 [hep-th/0012198]

[11] A. Sen, JHEP **9910** (1999) 008 [hep-th/9909062].

[12] E. A. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, T. C. de Wit, E. Eyras and S. Panda, JHEP **0005** (2000) 009 [hep-th/0003221].

[13] M. R. Garousi and E. Hatefi, Nucl. Phys. B **800** (2008) 502 [arXiv:0710.5875 [hep-th]].

[14] C. Kennedy and A. Wilkins, Phys. Lett. B **464**, 206 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9905195];

[15] H. Liu and J. Michelson, Nucl. Phys. B **614**, 330 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107172];

[16] E. Hatefi, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 046003 (2012), [arXiv:1203.1329 [hep-th]].

[17] E. Hatefi, JCAP **1309**, 011 (2013) [arXiv:1211.5538 [hep-th]]

[18] E. Hatefi, JHEP **1703** (2017) 019 [arXiv:1609.01385 [hep-th]].

[19] M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. B **376**, 365 (1992).

[20] L. A. Barreiro and R. Medina, Nucl. Phys. B **886**, 870 (2014) [arXiv:1310.5942 [hep-th]]; E. Hatefi, Phys. Lett. B **766** (2017) 153-161,[arXiv:1611.00787]; Phys. Lett. B **760** (2016) 509,[arXiv:1511.04971]; R. Medina,etal, JHEP **0207**,071 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0208121]; JHEP **0903**, 08 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4216 [hep-th]]; JHEP **1005**, 080 (2010), [arXiv:1003.0314 [hep-th]].

[21] E. Hatefi, JHEP **1512** (2015) 124 [arXiv:1506.08802 [hep-th]]; JHEP **1304** (2013) 070 [arXiv:1211.2413 [hep-th]].

[22] I. Y. Park, Eur. Phys. J. C **62**, 783 (2009) [arXiv:0801.0218 [hep-th]]; E. Hatefi and I. Y. Park, Nucl. Phys. B **864**, 640 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5079 [hep-th]].

[23] E. Hatefi and I. Y. Park, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 125039 (2012) [arXiv:1203.5553 [hep-th]].

[24] A. Fotopoulos, JHEP **0109**, 005 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0104146].

[25] E. Hatefi, Phys. Lett. B **761** (2016) 287 [arXiv:1604.03514 [hep-th]].

[26] M. Gutperle and A. Strominger, JHEP **0204** (2002) 018 [hep-th/0202210].

[27] E.Hatefi [work in progress]